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- Distributed Representations of Text
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- Translation of words and phrases
- Available resources
Representations of Text

Representation of text is very important for performance of many real-world applications. The most common techniques are:

- Local representations
  - N-grams
  - Bag-of-words
  - 1-of-N coding

- Continuous representations
  - Latent Semantic Analysis
  - Latent Dirichlet Allocation
  - Distributed Representations
Distributed Representations

The idea behind distributed representations is to characterize an object using many features

- This reflects the way we think the brain works
- We think they can help generalizing to new objects that are similar to known ones

Distributed representations of words can be obtained from various neural network based language models:

- Feedforward neural net language model
- Recurrent neural net language model
Feedforward Neural Net Language Model

- Four-gram neural net language model architecture (Bengio 2001)
- The training is done using stochastic gradient descent and backpropagation
- The word vectors are in matrix $U$

$U$, $V$ and $W$ are weight matrices whose values are to be learned by the network.

When training is complete, $U$ will be used to “translate” any word into the respective vector in the continuous space.
The network is trained using \( w(t-3) \), \( w(t-2) \) and \( w(t-1) \) as the input (the “context” of \( w(t) \)) and \( w(t) \) as expected result.

Each word is used as input in its one-hot form in the vocabulary. The output of the network is continuous so a softmax function must be used to assign the output to a word.
Feedforward Neural Net Language Model

Where:

- \( U \) has size \(|V| \times P\)
- \( V \) has size \((N\times P) \times H\)
- \( W \) has size \(H \times |V|\)

And:

- \(|V|\) is the vocabulary size
- \(P\) is the size of the projection space
- \(H\) is the size of the hidden layer
- \(N\) is the context size
After the training we obtain a mapping between each word in the vocabulary (discrete form) and its continuous form.

- The mapping is performed by computing the product: $w^T U$

- The one-hot nature of the word encoding in the vocabulary reduces the previous product to the selection of the $i$-th row of $U$, where $i$ is the position of the 1 in the word vector

- The mapping operation can thus be expressed as $U(i)$
Efficient Learning

The training complexity of the feedforward NNLM is high:
- Propagation from projection layer to the hidden layer
- Softmax in the output layer

Using this model just for obtaining the word vectors is very inefficient
Efficient Learning

The full softmax can be replaced by:

- Hierarchical softmax (Morin and Bengio)
- Hinge loss (Collobert and Weston)
- Noise contrastive estimation (Mnih et al.)
- Negative sampling (Mikolov et al.)

We can further remove the hidden layer: for large models, this can provide additional speedup (up to 1000x)

- Continuous bag-of-words model
- Continuous skip-gram model
Continuous Bag-of-words Architecture

- Predicts the current word given the context
Skip-gram Architecture

- Predicts the surrounding words given the current word
Efficient Learning - Summary

Efficient multi-threaded implementation of the new models greatly reduces the training complexity.

The training speed is in order of 100k - 5M words per second.

Quality of word representations improves significantly with more training data.
The word vector space implicitly encodes many regularities among words.
The resulting distributed representations of words contain surprisingly a lot of syntactic and semantic information.

There are multiple degrees of similarity among words:

- **KING** is similar to **QUEEN** as **MAN** is similar to **WOMAN**
- **KING** is similar to **KINGS** as **MAN** is similar to **MEN**

Simple vector operations with the word vectors provide very intuitive results.
Linguistic Regularities - Results

- Regularity of the learned word vector space is evaluated using test set with about 20k questions
- The test set contains both syntactic and semantic questions
- We measure TOP1 accuracy (input words are removed during search)
- We compare our models to previously published word vectors
## Linguistic Regularities - Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Vector Dimensionality</th>
<th>Training Words</th>
<th>Training Time</th>
<th>Accuracy [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collobert NNLM</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>660M</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turian NNLM</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>37M</td>
<td>few weeks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mnih NNLM</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>37M</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikolov RNNLM</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>320M</td>
<td>weeks</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang NNLM</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>990M</td>
<td>weeks</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our NNLM</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6B</td>
<td>2.5 days</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skip-gram (hier.s.)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>6B</td>
<td>Hours</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBOC (negative)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.5B</td>
<td>minutes</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Linguistic Regularities - Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Nearest token</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paris - France + Italy</td>
<td>Rome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bigger - big + cold</td>
<td>colder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sushi - Japan + Germany</td>
<td>bratwurst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu - copper + gold</td>
<td>Au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows - Microsoft + Google</td>
<td>Android</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montreal Canadiens - Montreal + Toronto</td>
<td>Toronto Maple Leafs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance on Rare Words

- Word vectors from neural networks were previously criticized for their poor performance on rare words.
- Scaling up training data set size helps to improve performance on rare words.
- For evaluation of progress, we have used data set from Luong et al.: *Better word representations with recursive neural networks for morphology*, CoNLL 2013.
## Performance on Rare Words - Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Correlation with Human Ratings (Spearman’s rank correlation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collobert NNLM</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collobert NNLM + Morphology features</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBOW (100B)</td>
<td><strong>0.50</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Rare Words - Examples of Nearest Neighbours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Redmond</th>
<th>Havel</th>
<th>graffiti</th>
<th>capitulate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collobert NNLM</strong></td>
<td>conyers</td>
<td>plauen</td>
<td>cheesecake</td>
<td>abdicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lubbock</td>
<td>dzerzhinsky</td>
<td>gossip</td>
<td>accede</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>keene</td>
<td>osterreich</td>
<td>dioramas</td>
<td>accede</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turian NNLM</strong></td>
<td>McCarthy</td>
<td>Jewell</td>
<td>gunfire</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alston</td>
<td>Arzu</td>
<td>emotion</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cousins</td>
<td>Ovitz</td>
<td>impunity</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mnih NNLM</strong></td>
<td>Podhurst</td>
<td>Pontiff</td>
<td>anaesthetics</td>
<td>Mavericks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harlang</td>
<td>Pinochet</td>
<td>monkeys</td>
<td>planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agarwal</td>
<td>Rodionov</td>
<td>Jews</td>
<td>hesitated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skip-gram (phrases)</strong></td>
<td>Redmond Wash. Redmond Washington Microsoft</td>
<td>Vaclav Havel president Vaclav Havel Velvet Revolution</td>
<td>spray paint grafitti taggers</td>
<td>capitation capitated capitating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From Words to Phrases and Beyond

Often we want to represent more than just individual words: phrases, queries, sentences

The vector representation of a query can be obtained by:

- Forming the phrases
- Adding the vectors together
From Words to Phrases and Beyond

- Example query:
  \textit{restaurants in mountain view that are not very good}

- Forming the phrases:
  \textit{restaurants in (mountain view) that are (not very good)}

- Adding the vectors:
  \textit{restaurants + in + (mountain view) + that + are + (not very good)}

- Very simple and efficient

- Will not work well for long sentences or documents
## Compositionality by Vector Addition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Nearest tokens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Czech + currency</td>
<td>koruna, Czech crown, Polish zloty, CTK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam + capital</td>
<td>Hanoi, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam, Vietnamese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German + airlines</td>
<td>airline Lufthansa, carrier Lufthansa, flag carrier Lufthansa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian + river</td>
<td>Moscow, Volga River, upriver, Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French + actress</td>
<td>Juliette Binoche, Vanessa Paradis, Charlotte Gainsbourg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Visualization of Regularities in Word Vector Space

- We can visualize the word vectors by projecting them to 2D space
- PCA can be used for dimensionality reduction
- Although a lot of information is lost, the regular structure is often visible
Visualization of Regularities in Word Vector Space
Visualization of Regularities in Word Vector Space
Visualization of Regularities in Word Vector Space
Machine Translation

- Word vectors should have similar structure when trained on comparable corpora
- This should hold even for corpora in different languages
The figures were manually rotated and scaled
Machine Translation

- For translation from one vector space to another, we need to learn a linear projection (will perform rotation and scaling)
- Small starting dictionary can be used to train the linear projection
- Then, we can translate any word that was seen in the monolingual data
MT - Accuracy of English to Spanish translation

![Graph showing accuracy of translation with increasing number of training words.](image-url)
Machine Translation

- When applied to English to Spanish word translation, the accuracy is above 90% for the most confident translations
- Can work for any language pair (we tried English to Vietnamese)
- More details in paper: *Exploiting similarities among languages for machine translation*
Available Resources

The project webpage is code.google.com/p/word2vec

- open-source code
- pretrained word vectors (model for common words and phrases will be uploaded soon)
- links to the papers